


Without access to uncensored NASA and ESO data, we are all working blind on pinpointing Wormwood's precise location, by Gil Eriksen, 11/28/14

I think you can trust that Marshall Masters is indeed “sincere” in his efforts to make the best predictions that he can. From what I have read of his materials, his presentations all seem to be pointed in that “honest effort” direction. I give him full credit for trying honestly to come up with the best predictive result he can. And you should too. Remember, without access to uncensored NASA and ESO data, we are all working blind... Marshall Masters included. You can use the old texts, circa 1645 BC and the Kolbrin that cover the effects of Wormwood but the only other source that even mentions this kind of thing is the Bible. And other than “honorable mention” in Genesis, The Revelation is the only book that lists the effects that are coming. At least we have that much. But the listed effects in the Bible don’t pin down astronomic information... Right Ascension, Declination, Node of Right Ascension, epoch... all the good stuff that tells us where to look in the sky for Wormwood’s inbound “show”. For that information you have to go to other sources and that only gives the meager clue pickings to work with. Marshall Masters is bound by these limitations just like we all are. Don’t fault yourself for that and don’t fault Marshall Masters either. We are all operating under the “constraints of altered reality” imposed on us by dishonest government... ALL of us. When government lies, it’s harder to discover the truth and verify it AS truth. Government lies to HIDE and COVER UP the truth. Our jobs are to discover the truth anyway. It isn’t necessarily easy to do.

Here’s some quick info that might help you to filter out the useless noise... and there IS a LOT of noise about PX these days. The ONE THING that lying governments cannot change is planetary orbital history. So... a quick review...

Here’s where the planets were located in 1821 when Alexis Bouvard published the fact that Uranus was “off track” (not located where it was supposed to be).

SEE NEXT PAGE..



In fact Uranus was a full 1 Degree off track as measured from Planet Earth (measurement reference point). The telescopes of Bouvard's day could already measure 1/10th of a Degree so a full 1 Degree of measurable displacement was 10X his measurement error. Bouvard KNEW Uranus was off track and he could measure how much even back then. Remember they already had the calculus based math at that time and between Kepler's laws, the calculus and the trigonometry, they could accurately predict where the planets were SUPPOSED to be at any given time. It had all been worked out. Because the orbit of Uranus was a NEARLY perfect circle, it was pretty easy to tell where it was supposed to be at any given time. The orbit of Uranus was PREDICTABLE... and easily so. But in 1821 when he was measuring it to see for sure where it was vs. where it was SUPPOSED to be, it had a "dip" in its orbit pattern toward the south side of the ecliptic. It was also being pulled slightly off track toward the outside (away from

the Sun) because Neptune was also out there. Bouvard could see it and measure it but he could not explain why Uranus had such “dipsly doodle” problems when it was out there on the RA 18 side of the ecliptic.


Page 2 of 6

It was the off track orbit of Uranus that led to the discovery of Neptune in 1865... complements of Mary Somerville, John Couch Adams, Urbain Le Verrier and Johann Galle (Berlin Observatory). But then it was found out that Neptune was also slightly off track on that side of the solar system. The next presumption was that there must be a Planet X that could pull both Uranus and Neptune off track out in that orbital neck of the woods. The search for PX lead to the discovery of Pluto... (Clyde Tombaugh, 1934). But then it was found out that Pluto was so small and light that it couldn't perturb the orbit of a fly speck, much less a gas giant like Neptune or Uranus. It was only about 1/5th the mass or Earth's Moon. So the PX process continued/continues.

Remember too, Dr. Robert Sutton Harrington also predicted that the PX orbit would be on June Solstice side of the solar system and he based that prediction on 300,000+ computer runs from the orbital problems with Uranus. He allowed for a wide swath between RA 14 and RA 21 but his best guess starting point would have been about RA16 as a good place to start the search. Well, that's pretty close to the RA 18 side of things. So anywhere out there on that June Solstice side (+/-) of the ecliptic gets us in the ball park as a starting place.

The attached article was submitted in November, 2008. The authors are complaining that Pluto is off track and there was at that time no mathematical formula that was able to match the REAL orbit track of Pluto. They don't want to think about PX or anything related, so they come to erroneous conclusions that we have just not had enough orbital track info about Pluto to make accurate predictions... at least not the way we THOUGHT we SHOULD have. Again, wrong... But look where Pluto is located orbitally... again... the RA 18 side of the ecliptic... where the outer planets seem to keep having trouble staying on a regular track.

SEE NEXT PAGE...



The reason why it is the outer planets that are having trouble out there is because the Sun's grip is not quite as "tight" on the planets that are that far out. The inner planets are still on track... where the Sun's gravitational grip is much tighter. But again, make a note of the RA 18 side of the ecliptic plane as the place where weird things happen and outer planets go off track. Keep the RA 18 side of the solar system in mind... the June Solstice side of the ecliptic.


Now let's have some predictive fun...

If this same pattern holds up, then if Wormwood/PX/Nibiru/Marduk/the brown dwarf star has not yet become visible, then the next planet to play the game of

“dipsly doodle” orbit will be Saturn in December, 2017. Why?

Look at the orbital position for Saturn in December, 2017: That’s right... RA 18...

Page 4 of 6



Actually it will start going “off track even before that because PX/Wormwood is getting progressively closer now. So we might even see some slight off track orbit behavior of Saturn even as soon as next year (2015)... but definitely in 2016 and 2017. With PX inbound on its current course, December, 2017 should be the point of maximal off track perturbation for Saturn (roughly). And the problem for the astronomers is that there won’t be any planets near enough to cause Saturn any additional “perturbation issues”. Jupiter won’t be close enough to make excuses for Saturn’s orbit problems. Mars and Pluto wouldn’t count anyway so Saturn will be out there all alone with off track “issues”. What are the astronomers going to do

then? Puke? Barf? Wretch? Fart? It won't do any good. Saturn will be out there all alone with a dip in its orbital track and there won't be any planets to blame it on... except one. You guessed it. Hmm... It gets interesting after a while doesn't it?

Page 5 of 6

Remember, you have to filter the incoming information to separate the noise from the good information. Very few of us get it right correctly all the time... and less so when there is less information to work with. Again, we are moving around in the "informational dark" so to speak, trying to make sense of the various clues... Marshall Masters, you, me, Jaysen Rand, Gordon Moore... all of us. As long as the government won't allow the classified tracking data for Wormwood out into the public domain that's how it's going to be. One day it won't matter... when we can all see PX. But for now it does.

Anyway, use the planetary perturbation issues as a filter/guide to filter the incoming info. The Planetary movements don't lie. We can't say the same for politicians or governments because they are a bit more "cavalier" with the truth. If the truth gets in the way of a political agenda, then it is the truth that suffers... generally. But the planets don't have to run for office and they don't have agendas either. They just do what they do based on orbital mechanics and they don't much care what people think... in or out of office.

If at all possible, pass this on to your list of people... Susan too. (And I think I might have emailed her before... quite some time ago.) And if possible, pass it on to Marshall Masters too. We all need to be taking this planetary pattern information a bit more seriously. Until PX becomes visible, it's the ONE thing we CAN actually count on. But all opinions and estimations have to align with the planetary information or they are suspect from the get-go.

I hope this helps.

Regards,

Gill Eriksen
