

**Email post by Gilbert Eriksen July 4<sup>th</sup>, 2013  
RE: Wormwood SIGHTED at LEAST 31 years ago !!!!!**

This image showed up recently complements of an Italian researcher/reporter. The photos on the desk were from a Vatican source. They were not supposed to be shared with the general public but somehow some of the copies got out. The Vatican has had an interest in Wormwood/the brown dwarf star since its discovery in 1982.

**PICTURE**

I did not know that the Pioneer 10 infrared images (gray scale) were this good. I expected the Pioneer images to be a lot grainier. Anyway, if these images were what Pioneer 10 was able to pass back to NASA in 1982 then NASA had every right to be concerned. And the speed with which the IRAS money was appropriated (NASA and the Netherlands) made good sense. The IRAS was launched a year later in 1983 and was a good follow up instrument to these Pioneer 10 images... better optics... etc.

Supposedly the IRAS “ran out of helium gas” the following year (1984). But a retiring NASA engineer said that as far as he knew, IRAS was still operating and sending back good image data in 1989 when he retired... five years after it supposedly “ran out of gas”. Remember, the IRAS had a closed continuous loop cryostat on board to re-compress and re-chill the Helium gas back down to liquid. So it did not vent its helium overboard. It recirculated the Helium over and over. The other thing to keep in mind is that PX/Wormwood/the brown dwarf star would be giving off a VERY LOUD heat signature (700 Degrees or 800 Degrees F) that would be easy to see in the near infrared. Extremely cold reference optics at  $\frac{1}{4}$  of 1 Degree Kelvin required for far infrared would not be necessary.

Anyway, the point is that NASA (and the Netherlands) knew about Wormwood in 1982... 10 years before anybody else. The actual physical existence of brown dwarf stars was only a theory until they were formally made public in 1992 when Dr. Maria Teresa Ruiz (Harvard trained Professor of astronomy @ University of Chile) made their existence known. But NASA knew Wormwood was there 10 years earlier.

Location: RA 18 hrs Declination still classified... but probably between - 30 Degrees to - 10 Degrees Declination at this time.

---

**Separate Email, posted May 7, 2013  
RE: “Proof” of Wormwood & “thinking outside Standard Academic means”**

OK, Ladies and Gentlemen ... excuse me... Gentle Persons,

The issues and the facts:

**First “issue”...** Exactly what is it that you will accept as “proof”? How would you define “proof” in this instance or what will you accept as “proof” that the brown dwarf star exists and that our Sun is not just a yellow dwarf bachelor star but that our solar system is actually a binary system like the majority of the stars in our galactic neighborhood? When Wormwood/PX/the brown dwarf star finally becomes visible in the June night time sky, generally speaking we would say at that point that “proof” is not

necessary because its existence is “manifestly apparent”. We would just look at it and say the words, “I see it.” The problem with that approach is that if Wormwood’s visible light spectrum presentation is the only thing you accept as “proof” then any words of advanced warning will not reach your ears because you won’t listen to them. When the brown dwarf star gets close enough and finally “presents” itself in the visible light portion of the spectrum complements of the solar wind, the breakdown in social order and the chaos that follows will become very disruptive as physical destruction and world wide panic set in. It is highly probable that there will be numerous disruptive presaging events that will herald the inbound destruction. In other words, the major destruction events will be preceded by multiple minor destruction events. The closer the brown dwarf star gets on its perihelion maneuver, the more destructive it becomes for the planets in our solar system.

**Second issue:** Your appeal to academia and old paradigm “science”... an erroneous appeal with built-in errors that you didn’t even know were errors: So many people fall into this trap even today that it’s not even funny any more. It’s just sad. The reference you will need to correct this error is, Thomas S. Kuhn, *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. He was the first one to point out that “science”, as we normally think of it, doesn’t always have the precision and accuracy that we would expect of it. Also, by its academic nature, the process of “science” is more a group-think, group-reinforcing phenomenon rather than an accurate method of determining functional baseline truth. Kuhn outlined a long history of academic errors that showed how the paradigm thought fields in science kept shifting and changing. Anomalous discoveries around the outside of the “paradigm box” would intrude on the sacred space inside the paradigm box... sometimes forcefully... such that the paradigm itself would have to be enlarged or reconstructed on different foundations in order to withstand close inspection. This process went on repeatedly from one paradigm to the next... then to the next... etc. In other words, “science” operated on the basis of Finkelstein’s law... “When you open a can of worms, the only way to recan them is to use a larger can”... i.e. ... an expanded paradigm reference frame that can explain the intruding phenomena. It was later found out that “scientists” generally speaking were an interesting set of people who had little tolerance for the cognitive dissonance that anomalous information usually represented. In fact, when groups of professional scientists were measured against professional clergymen it was discovered that the clergymen were faster at being able to “see through” issues of cognitive dissonance and paradigm error than professional scientists. It turned out that scientists liked their worlds to be pat, predictable and stable. They would defend dysfunctional paradigm sets longer than normal. Until they could see formal workable linkages and driver mechanisms to connect the anomalous information, more often than not they would simply reject the anomalous information. They wouldn’t even consider it. But that means that when you bring a new piece of anomalous information to a “scientist” the odds are that he will reject it as “not possible”, “impossible”, “not worthy of consideration”, “this cannot possibly be true”... etc, etc... because it doesn’t jibe with the “known information” inside his academically approved paradigm box. Sorry boys, but this is the dark side of “science”. In other words, if you go to a professional scientist he will regurgitate “safe pre-approved information” from inside his paradigm box. If your information falls outside his box he is just as prone to error as anyone else. Be very careful when you appeal to “science” or academia as the source or standard of valid truth. Max Planck commented that “science advances one funeral at a time.” For a new theory to be accepted, the scientist critics have to die off. One of my old professors commented that “today’s science is tomorrow’s garbage.” Anyway, at least be aware that your appeal to the “authority” of science is not what you think it is and that scientists are just as prone to error as any other group-think process where new information is concerned. And the general rule of reality is that professional academia is the last group to catch on to the nature of operating reality. They get there, but they don’t generally lead the way. Repeat... They get there, but they don’t generally lead the way.

**Third issue:** Anomalous information (PX related... and others like it) doesn't come to us pre-packaged, fully analyzed, categorized, processed and explained. It comes into our thought space in disjointed, unorganized and unprocessed form... bits and pieces. WE have to process it. WE have to organize it. WE have to integrate it. WE have to categorize it. WE have to do all those things. Why? Because that's how reality functions. That's how reality works. We gather the bits and pieces of information and process them into a coherent theory or a working paradigm model. That is something that humans do. Anomalous bits of information... the suggestive clues... do not generally organize themselves into coherent thought streams... canned, processed and ready to eat. And however inconvenient that property of reality may be, it is the way that new truth is discovered. The discovery process is messy. It doesn't jibe with the reigning paradigm of academically blessed information... the currently accepted paradigm. That's why it is labeled as "anomalous". When you reject anomalous information because it comes from Sheldon or another non-academic source, it doesn't mean that you are pursuing truth and that you know how to process the clues, it means that you don't know how to process the clues and you don't know how to deal with anomalous information. OK... but what does that make you?

I could go on with the statistical arguments which make very good sense (at the 82% and 88% confidence level) but if you are of the mindset that only pre-processed academically blessed information is worth your consideration then there is no point in continuing the discussion. You would not be ready mentally.

---

### **Separate Email post via Gilbert Eriksen, April 28, 2013**

#### **RE: Seeing Wormwood**

PX/Wormwood/Nemesis/Nibiru/the brown dwarf star will become "visible" in the visible light part of the spectrum as it approaches the ecliptic plane. It is "visible" now in the near infrared part of the spectrum because of its heat signature. But because it is approaching the ecliptic from the southern side you have to be south of the equator and have the correct "viewing" gear... which you must also point in the correct direction. In other words, it won't do any good to go looking for it in the northern hemisphere of the Earth if it is only visible from the southern hemisphere skies. The Earth would get in the way. There are stars that they can see down there that we can't see up here in the northern hemisphere. There are stars that we can see up here that southern hemisphere residents of Planet Earth can't see... ex: Polaris... the "North Star". And as long as the Earth keeps spinning on its current axis... or a reasonable approximation thereof... that's how it will always be.

As the brown dwarf approaches the ecliptic plane, its atmosphere will begin to glow from the capacitive interaction with the charged particles of the solar wind and it will become visible to the human eye. When it crosses the ecliptic most of the people of Planet Earth will be able to see it very clearly. It will also begin to throw a long "tail" like a comet... except of course it is not a "comet", it's a brown dwarf star.

In terms of size, it can be as small as Saturn or slightly larger than Jupiter... or anywhere in between. Generally brown dwarf stars are about Jupiter sized objects... approximately. When star objects get to the size of 1.2 Jupiter diameters, their atmospheres begin to glow... like the "photosphere" of the Sun. But because they don't attract enough energy from dark mode plasma current of space they only glow dull red. Because the brown dwarf star is not glowing red like a red dwarf star does, we have to

assume that it is not large enough to be a red dwarf... ergo: the classification as a “brown dwarf” star. Personally, I think it will turn out to be about Jupiter sized when all is said and done... roughly... not precisely but roughly. And I have to tell you that’s a guestimated size on my part. I don’t have any classified data to work with so I can’t speak with authority. But judging the behavior/size of other red dwarf stars... which are about 72% of the stars in the night time sky... it’s a pretty good estimate of the situation.

If the insider information I was given turns out to be correct, then you will want to be looking for Wormwood’s inbound approach over along the Right Ascension 18 part of the night time sky... the June/July night time stars. Why? Because that is the side of the solar system where the outer planets were maximally “perturbed” from their normal orbital patterns (Uranus, Neptune and Pluto). From a background point of view, you would be looking out along the line between Sagittarius and Scorpius. From the northern hemisphere point of view, it will appear to be coming up between them. From the southern hemisphere view it will appear to be descending down between them.

Wormwood’s node of Right Ascension will be about 3.95 or 4.0 AU out along RA 18. In other words, it will cut up through the ecliptic plane about half way between the orbital track of Jupiter and the orbital track of the main asteroid belt.

If you are interested, you might want to check out some of the information I have posted on the website... <http://www.millenniumprophecy.com> . The Gallery page and the Animation page will help you to see the orbital pattern. It’s not too hard to understand when you get the three dimensional concept clear in your mind.

Regards, Gil Eriksen